

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/854,084	LIU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Meltin Bell	2121

All Participants:

(1) Meltin Bell.

Status of Application: 71/Response to Non-Final Office Action Entered and Forwarded to Examiner

(3) _____.

(2) Reginald Ratliff.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: 1:20 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Bell informed Attorney Ratliff (408-938-9060 ext. 121) that drawings included with 3/12/04 Amendment and Response to Office Action by Attorney James P. Hao are missing. I gave him their attorney docket number for this application, 10013649-1, and my RightFAX number so he could fax the drawings to me directly. I told him I could forward the drawings to the central fax number or he could refax the drawings to the central fax number. Immediately prior to speaking with Attorney Ratliff, I left a voicemail with James P. Hao, Reg. No. 36,398 as given on the 3/12/04 Amendment and Response to Office Action.

Examiner Bell called the PALM correspondence number 650-857-5288 for the case next and spoke to Tiffany who offered a referral to Howard Boyle 281-518-9645 as the attorney that submitted the response. The conversation with Tiffany ended by 1:48 pm and Attorney Boyle was not called..